



कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि संगठन
(श्रम मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)
Employees' Provident Fund Organisation
(Ministry of Labour & Employment, Govt. Of India)

मुख्य कार्यालय/Head Office

भविष्य निधि, भवन, 14, भीकाजी कामा प्लेस, नई दिल्ली-110066

Bhavishya Nidhi Bhawan, 14- Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi - 110066

Website: www.epfindia.gov.in

No.: Pen-III/7(50)2017/RTI/Parveen Kohli

Dated:

29 AUG 2017

CPIO/HRM, Head Office (For Point No.3)

CPIO/Exemption, Head Office (For Point No.4)

All CPIOs/RO/SROs (For Point No.5)

CPIO/Legal, Head Office (For Point No.6)

Sub.: Supply of Information under RTI Act -2005-reg.

Sir,

Please find enclosed herewith a online RTI of Sh. Parveen Kohli dated 03.08.2017 received in Pension Section for seeking information under the Right to Information Act. The copy of the letter is transferred to you for necessary action under the Right to Information Act, 2005. The information has to be provided directly to the applicant under intimation to the undersigned.

If the desired information does not pertain to the concerned division or some part of information is held by other division or public authority, the concerned CPIO shall forward/transfer the application or such part of it as may be appropriate to relevant division/Public authorities u/s 5(4) and 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 respectively and inform the applicant immediately/about such transfer. However, if the CPIO is not able to find out as to which division/public authority is concerned with the information even after making reasonable efforts to find out the concerned division/public authority to which the application could be transferred. It would, however, be the responsibility of the CPIO, if an appeal is made against his decision, to establish that he made reasonable efforts to find out the particulars of the concerned division/public authority.

Name & address of the Appellate Authority may also be mentioned in the reply, so that the applicant can make an appeal within 30 days from the expiry of such period or from the receipt of decision given by the public authority, if he is aggrieved by the decision.

Encl: As above

Yours faithfully

(MUKESH KUMAR)

CPIO/Regional P. F. Commissioner-I (Pension)

Copy to:-

Shri Parveen Kohli,
12 Hop Apartments, Sector -15 (Part -2),
Jharsa Road, **Gurgaon - 122001.** - For information.

You are advised to approach the above Public Authority for further information regarding the matter and for any grievance regarding non-receipt of information

S.No. 20 (R)

RTI REQUEST DETAILS (आरटीआई अनुरोध विवरण)

EPFOG/R/2017/54014

Date of Receipt 03/08/2017

Registration Number
(पंजीकरण संख्या):(प्राप्ति की
तारीख):

Online Receipt

Type of Receipt (रसीद का
प्रकार):Language of Request English
(अनुरोध की
भाषा):

Name (नाम):

Parveen Kohli

Gender Male
(लिंग):

Address (पता):

12 HOPE Apartments, Sector 15 (Part-2), Jharsa
Road, Gurgaon, Pin:122001

State (राज्य):

Haryana

Country India
(देश):

Phone Number (फोन नंबर):

Details not provided

Mobile Number +91-
9810306699
(मोबाईल
नंबर):

Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी): pkkohli@gmail.com

Status (स्थिति)
(Rural/Urban):

Urban

Education Above
Status: GraduateIs Requester Below
Poverty Line ? (क्या
आवेदक गरीबी रेखा से नीचे
का है?):

No

Citizenship
Status
(नागरिकता)

Indian

Amount Paid (राशि का
भुगतान):

10) (original recipient)

Mode of Payment
Payment Gateway
(भुगतान का
प्रकार)

Request Pertains to RPFC-II (Pension, EDLI) HO

(अनुरोध निम्नलिखित
संबंधित है):

Relates to the CPIO o/o CPFC, EPFO, GOI - Head Office

Please provide me the following information and attested copies of the documents under RTI Act

1. Against my online application no. EPFOG/R/17/52629 dt 12.2.17 and Appeal no. EPFOG/A/17/60148 dt 1.3.17 unattested documents had been dispatched to me vide letter no. Pen.3/7(41)17/RTI/Parveen Kohli/HR/ 1706 dt 31.7.2017 that too after illegally insisting on payment of Rs. 102 (letter dt 17.7.17) & insistence by CPIO to do so on 25.7.2017 in violation of Clause 7(6) and Chapter 1 Clause 2 (j) (ii) of the RTI Act. May also please refer to my detailed email dt. 21.7.2017.

Who is responsible for these violations

2. CPIO may refer to recently decided similar case no. CIC/AB/A/2016/000505-AB by the CIC on 5.6.17 wherein CPIO had been directed to resend the reply along with attested copies of the documents complete in all respects to the Appellant free of charges u/s 7(6) of the RTI Act within 7 days of the receipt of the order. Similar orders had been passed by the CIC in the case CIC/PB/C/2008/00561-SM on 5.5.09.

So, please resend the said information duly attested without any further delay.

3. Copy of rules under which disciplinary action is taken by the punishing authority of EPFO against the officers/officials violating the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005

**Information Sought
(जानकारी मांगी):**

4. List of EPF Exempted establishments in India under the jurisdiction of various offices of EPFO

5. List of EPF Exempted establishments in India under the jurisdiction of various offices of EPFO wherein pension has been revised on the basis of actual salary in view of various orders of the High Court & Supreme Court

6. Has CPFC/RPFC Head office circulated the recent judgments of CIC concerning information required on matters concerning pension to be disposed of in 48 hrs and to be treated concerning life & liberty

52

These cases are as under

a. CIC/BS/A/2016/001238 titled Amrika Bai v/s PIO, EPFO, Raipur decided on 30.3.17 wherein it has been held as under

Para 9 - The moment RTI application on pension issue is received, there should be a mechanism at the entry stage to discover and identify if it reflects a pension related grievance/issue and then should act immediately.

Para 10 - Not only the CPIO, even the other authorities under RTI Act like the First appeal/second appellate authorities also should dispose such appeals involving pension issues within 48 hours.

Para 16 - The Commission also requires as per Section 19(8)(a)(I, iii & iv) of RTI Act, the public authority to consider pension related information as life and liberty concerned information

b. CIC/BS/A/2016/000307 titled V. Balaraman v/s PIO, EPFO, Trivandrum decided on 4.7.17 and

c. CIC/BS/C/2015/000157 titled V. Vaidyanathan v/s PIO, EPFO, Mumbai decided on 17.7.17 wherein the above orders as at (a) above of CIC have again been reiterated

7. Copy of the file noting pages on the movement of this RTI application till issue of documents

Print Save Close