Telephone: 26175212



## कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि संगठन (श्रम मंत्रालय,भारत सरकार)

Employees' Provident Fund Organisation (Ministry of Labour & Employment, Govt. Of India)

म्ख्य कार्यालय/Head Office

भविष्य निधि, भवन, 14, भीकाएजी कामा प्लेस, नई दिल्ली-110066 Bhavishya Nidhi Bhawan, 14- Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066 Website: www.epfindia.gov.in

No.: Pen-III/7(99)2017/RTI/Sunil Kumar Johan

Dated:

2 3 JUN 2017

✓All CPIOs/Regional Offices /Sub-Regional Offices.

Sub.: Information under RTI Act -2005

Sir

An Online RTI application under RTI Act, 2005 of Sh. Sunil Kumar Johar dated 24.05.2017 received by CPIO/RPFC Pension on 08.06.2017 is hereby transferred to you in terms of section 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 as provided under section 7(1) of the Act. As the data/information sought by the applicant at Point No.R is closely/ related to you.

Kindly do the needful to provide the data/information directly to the applicant under intimation to the undersigned. If full or in part, is found related to another CPIO, the same may be forwarded to him for further action. The requested information be provided or rejected as expeditiously as possible as but not later than 30 days and failure to do so shall be deemed as refusal.

The Information/reasons for rejection may please be communicated to the applicant directly, prescribed fee of Rs.10/- (Rupees Ten Only) has been received from the applicant.

Details of Appellate Authority with designation and address may also be provided to the applicant while responding to the request so that an appeal can be filed in case of non-satisfactory sharing of information under section 19(1) of the Act.

Encl: As above

Yours faithfully

(MUKESH KUMAR)

CPIO/Regional P. F. Commissioner-I (Pension)

## Copy to:-

 Sh.SUNIL KUMAR JOHAR, 317,SFS Flats,Ashok Vihar Phase-IV, DELHI, Pin:110052 – For information.

## RTI REQUEST DETAILS (आरटीआई अनुरोध विवरण)

Date of 24/05/2017

Registration Number (पंजीकरण संख्या):

Receipt (प्राप्ति

की तारीख):

Online Receipt

Language of English

Type of Receipt (रसीद का

Request (अन्रोध की

प्रकार):

भाषा):

Name (नाम): SUNIL KUMAR JOHAR

Gender (लिंग): Male

Address (पता): 317,SFS Flats, Ashok Vihar Phase-IV, DELHI, Pin:110052

State (राज्य) : Delhi

Country (देश) : India

91-1147029004

Mobile -91-

Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :

Number 9650090465

(मोबाईल नंबर) :

Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) : skjohar@gmail.com

Status (स्थिति)(Rural/Urban) Urban

Education Above Graduate

Status:

Is Requester Below Poverty No.

Citizenship Indian

Line ? (क्या आवेदक गरीबी रेखा

Status

से नीचे का है?):

(नागरिकता)

Amount Paid (राशि का

0 (Received by Employees

Mode of Payment

Provident Fund Organisation)

Payment Gateway

(original recipient)

(भगतान का

प्रकार)

Request Pertains to (अनुरोध RPFC-II (Pension, FDLI) II()

निम्नलिखित संबंधित है):

Information Sought (जानकारी Please see the attached file RTI on EPFO for theRTI Petition

मांगी):

Original RTI Text (मूल Please see the attached file RTI on EPEO for theR fl Petition.

आरटीआई पाठ):

EPS 95 was implemented w. e. f. 16th November 1995.

- A Please state if NTPC had been depositing contributions to EPS-95 on the basis of the 8.33% actual salary at the inception of the scheme?
- B Is it a fact that NTPC abruptly stopped remittances of contributions to EPS-95 and since when ?
- C Is it a fact that some of NTPCs units still continued to deposit the contribution to EPS-95? Please name such units / offices of NTPC.
- D What action, if any was taken by EPFO in the matter when NTPC discontinued depositing contributions to EPS-95? Please provide a copy of the letter issued by EPFO / RPFC in the matter.
- E Was it not obligatory on the part of NTPC to seek option / consent from the employees at time of introduction EPS-95 launched by the Government of India as a social security / welfare measure to provide a reasonable return on their investment so that they can live with dignity after their retirement.
- F Was the written consent / option from the employees to be taken on the aspect of for contributing employers contribution i) on the specified salary limits, ii) on full salary.
- G Could NTPC get out of the scheme at will without introducing a pension scheme at par with the EPS 95 scheme ?
- H Had the NTPC management sought exemption from EPS-95?
- I If answer to G is yes, please provide me a certified copy of NTPC application for exemption from EPS-95 scheme along with all its annexures.
- J Did NTPC apply for exemption from EPS-95 on the grounds of NTPC's alternate Pension Scheme is superior to EPS-95?
- K Was exemption from EPS-95 granted to NTPC? Please provide me a certified copy of the EPFO letter granting exemption to NTPC.
- L Was exemption from EPS-95 denied to NTPC? What were the grounds of rejection of the exemption. Please provide me a certified copy of the EPFO letter to NTPC denying exemption from EPS-95.
- M When did NTPC resume depositing the contributions @ 8.33 % of the employer's share of Provident Fund with RPFC? Was this contribution deposited on full salary or salary limited to Rs.5000/- pm? When the remittances of contributions on full salary stopped by NTPC?
- N What was NTPC's obligation to EPS-95 after the denial of exemption by EPFO?

- O Was EPFO aware the NTPC is also running a parallel pension scheme?
- P Could NTPC be allowed to have its pension scheme even though it was not considered superior to EPS-95?
- Q Is there any mechanism to monitor whether the obligation as per C above is being followed by an organisation, which is not exempted from EPS-95.?
- R Were there any exempted PF organisations other than NTPC which were depositing the employers contribution on full salary to EPS-95 even if it is above limits of 5000/, 6500/- and 15000/-?
  - Did NTPC give in writing that it will not contribute the employer's share on the employees full salary to EPS-95 pension Fund. Please provide a copy of the NTPC's letter / communication on the subject. ?

SUNIL KUMAR JOHAR

skjohar@gmail.com

+91-9650990405

00/13